IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 838 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

KHENGARBHAI MALJIBHAI

Versus

GONDBHAI D PATEL

Appearance:

MR DR BHATT for the Petitioner.

MR VIJAY H PATEL for the Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 25/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Asim Pandya, learned Advocate, on behalf of Mr.V.H. Patel, learned Advocate for the respondent, states that the parties have settled the dispute amicably and that the disputed portion is already sold to the

present petitioner. He submitted that he has already informed this fact to Mr.D.R. Bhatt, learned Advocate for the petitioner, and that he has also shown the settlement terms to Mr.Bhatt.

In view of the aforesaid development, this revision has become infructuous. The petition is accordingly disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. No costs.

Liberty to apply in case of any difficulty.

25th March, 2004 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

****

(apj)